

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/602,385 06/24/2003		5/24/2003	Jayshree Seth	58453US002	1544		
32692	7590	02/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
3M INNOV PO BOX 334		ROPERTIES CO	BRITTAIN	BRITTAIN, JAMES R			
ST. PAUL,		3-3427	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action		10/602,385	SETH ET AL.					
Befo	ore the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		James R. Brittain	3677					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	Y FILED <u>25 January 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A							
	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or			indonment of				
this a place a Red time p	pplication, applicant must timely file one of the follows the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Noquest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
	he period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
Т	WO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
have been fi under 37 CF set forth in (I	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date led is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) F APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because								
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
(c) ∟	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	itter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
	rly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. 🔲 For p	burposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
The	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	which below of appointed.						
	n(s) allowed:							
	n(s) objected to: n(s) rejected:							
Claim	n(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
beca was r	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu use applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
enter	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ed because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ring a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
	FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
	request for reconsideration has been considered be Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
	e the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s).	$\leftarrow$				
			Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3677					

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment removes the limitation that "the film backing and hook elements are simulataneously formed from the thermoplastic material" so as to greatly broaden claim 10, while inserting substantial language relative to the hook structure. The resulting proposal for claim 10 requires extensive review to determine if other grounds of rejection based on art would be more appropriate for the proposed amended claim and the claim is not clearly allowable.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant objects to the finality of the rejection based on the art having been filed in information disclosure statements prior to the nonfinal rejection, which comprised the first action on the merits, mailed June 15, 2005. Applicant's amendment to claim 10 was received October 12, 2005, substantially amended claim 10 in comparison to the art applied in the nonfinal rejection mailed June 15, 2005 and was argued by applicant as being allowable over the art applied based on the subject matter added to claim 10 by that amendment. The claim necessitated a new ground of rejection and was properly made final within the guidelines established by the Office. Applicant asserts that his IDS's were submitted under 37 CFR 1.97(c), but this is not the case since as indicated above they were submitted prior to a first action on the merits and therefore were submitted under 37 CFR 1.97(b). MPEP 706.07(a) nowhere states that art submitted prior to a first action on the merits cannot be used to make the second action final when applicant's amendment necessitates a new ground of rejection incorporating that art.